

**PRITE FELLOWSHIP SELECTION COMMITTEE**  
**GUIDELINES FOR SCORING APPLICANTS**

<b>SCORE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
<b>5</b>	<p><b>OUTSTANDING</b>  Appears to be a superior candidate and have great promise in the field on the basis of academic achievement, special abilities and/or accomplishments. These accomplishments are not limited to research, but may include clinical achievements, innovative program implementation, participation and administrative achievements or other scholarly attainments. The resident also displays a significant interest in and strong promise in the area of psychiatric education.</p>
<b>4</b>	<p><b>EXCELLENT</b>  Clearly an above average candidate based on academic achievements and ability to meaningful contributions to the PRITE Editorial Board. Has demonstrated leadership and will contribute to the diversity of the College.</p>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>GOOD</b>  This indicates an average to above average candidate on the basis of background, PD recommendation, and promise; whose achievements may not yet be “Excellent”.</p>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>FAIR</b>  Compared to the above groups, does not indicate any unusual or notable achievements or potential beyond what one would expect of a very satisfactory trainee.</p>
<b>1</b>	<p><b>UNACCEPTABLE</b>  The trainee’s application is incomplete, personal statement is vague and/or full of typos, or concerns about qualifications.</p>

**PLEASE NOTE:** *Committee members should recuse themselves from voting on any candidate from their home institution, and not just those with whom they have a supervisory relationship. It is expected that committee members may know some of the candidates who have passed through their programs, but it is expected that committee members can be fair when rating them.*